Uniform risk of clinical progression despite differences in utilization of highly active antiretroviral therapy: Swiss HIV Cohort Study

Cornelia Junghans^a, Nicola Low^a, Philip Chan^a, Anne Witschi^{b,c}, Pietro Vernazza^d, Matthias Egger^{a,b}, for the Swiss HIV Cohort Study*

Objective: To compare the initiation of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in HIV-infected patients according to sex, route of HIV acquisition and education, and to assess the impact of differences in utilization on the probability of progression to AIDS.

Design and setting: Swiss HIV Cohort Study, a national prospective multi-centre study.

Participants: A total of 3342 patients, including 1007 (30%) women. HIV was acquired through injection drug use in 1155 (35%) cases and through sex between men in 1172 (35%). Twenty-eight per cent (957) of participants had attained only the minimum level of schooling. At baseline, the median CD4 cell count was $269 \times 10^6/l$ cells, median HIV-1 RNA was 4.3 log₁₀ copies/ml and 2917 (87%) were free of AIDS.

Methods: Kaplan–Meier life tables and Cox proportional hazards regression.

Results: During 7007 person-years of follow-up 2285 (69%) patients started HAART and 318 (10%) developed a new AIDS event. In multivariable analysis controlling for CD4 cell count, viral load and disease stage at baseline, the probability of starting HAART was lower in injection drug users compared with men who have sex with men, hazard ratio 0.63 (95% confidence intervals 0.56–0.70) and in patients with minimum schooling compared with those with vocational training, hazard ratio 0.82 (0.75–0.91). The risk of progression to AIDS was similar among men and women, patients with a history of injecting drug use, and patients with lower educational attainment in both univariable and multivariable analysis.

Conclusion: HIV-infected injecting drug users and those with lower levels of educational attainment start HAART later than other patient groups. The deferred initiation of therapy in these patients does not, however, appear to translate into an increased risk of clinical disease progression. This observation has important implications for treatment policy and the design of future clinical trials.

© 1999 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

AIDS 1999, 13:2547-2554

Keywords: antiretroviral therapy, cohort study, combination therapy, drug users, education, healthcare/economics, health service accessibility, homosexual men, natural history, opportunistic infections, protease inhibitors, Switzerland, viral load, women

From the ^aMRC Health Services Research Collaboration, Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; ^bDepartment of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Berne, Switzerland; ^cInstitute for Medical Microbiology, University of Basel, Switzerland; and ^dDivision of Internal Medicine, Cantonal Hospital St Gall, St Gall, Switzerland.

*Members of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study are listed at the end of the article.

Correspondence to: Matthias Egger, Department of Social Medicine, Canynge Hall, Bristol BS8 2PR, UK.

Tel: +44 (0)117 928 7252; fax: +44 (0)117 928 7236; e-mail: m.egger@bristol.ac.uk

Received: 8 September 1999; accepted: 23 September 1999.

Sponsorship: The Swiss HIV Cohort Study is funded by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health (grant 3600.010.1). C.J. is supported by the University of Bristol.

Introduction

Highly active antiretroviral combination therapy (HAART) for HIV infection was introduced into widespread use in 1996 and is now considered to be the standard of care in developed countries [1,2]. Dramatic reductions in HIV-related morbidity and mortality have been documented after the introduction of these potent drugs in North America and Europe, in men and women [3,4], in injection drug users and those infected through sexual routes of transmission [3,4], and in patients from white and non-white ethnic groups [4]. Despite the existence of clinical guidelines that are based on objective assessments of disease severity [1,2], access to treatment for all groups of affected individuals with HIV infection and other illnesses is not equitable. Sex differences in access to coronary angiography and revascularization procedures for heart disease are well documented [5]. Zidovudine monotherapy has been prescribed less frequently for African Americans [6], women [7], and injection drug users, than for white men infected through sex with men and now injecting drug users [4,8], patients with low levels of education [8] and, in the USA, those without private medical insurance [4] are less likely to receive HAART.

The clinical benefits of HAART have been attributed to recovery of the immune system to restore protection against the opportunistic infections associated with AIDS [9,10]. The optimal time at which HAART should commence is, however, not known. It has been suggested that antiretroviral therapy should 'hit HIV, early and hard' [11] when the viral burden is lower and more homogeneous, and that immune reconstitution is only possible if treatment begins before functional CD4 cell subsets are irretrievably damaged [12]. Others have demonstrated sustained CD4 cell responses even in profoundly immunosuppressed individuals [13]. If the early initiation of HAART is necessary for maximal clinical benefits, then deferred use should be associated with more rapid disease progression. We investigated this hypothesis in a large prospectively followed cohort of HIV-infected individuals in Switzerland, by examining the rate of starting HAART and the impact of differences in rates of initiation on the probability of progression to AIDS according to social and clinical characteristics.

Materials and methods

The Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) is an ongoing prospective study, which has been enrolling HIV-infected patients aged 16 years and over since September 1988. The study design and procedures have been described in detail [14]. Patients are followed in one of seven study centres (Basle, Bern, Geneva, Lausanne, Lugano, St Gall and Zurich). Enrolment is

independent of the stage of disease or degree of immunosuppression, and information is collected according to standardized criteria on structured forms at registration and at follow-up visits at 6 monthly intervals. The follow-up questionnaire includes a detailed history of disease associated with HIV and the month of starting and discontinuing drugs. The CD4 positive T lymphocyte count is measured using flow cytometry. HIV-1 RNA (viral load) measurements were introduced in June 1995 using a polymerase chain reaction-based assay (Roche Diagnostics, Basle, Switzerland) with a lower limit of detection of 400 RNA copies per ml.

The current analysis is based on the SHCS database of the end of April 1999, which included data on 10 288 participants, of whom 3468 (59%) had died. All 5509 patients who had at least one viral load measurement and a corresponding CD4 cell count before initiating HAART were eligible. We excluded 198 patients who had not acquired HIV through sexual intercourse or intravenous drug use and 504 patients with missing data on educational level. A further 672 patients did not have at least one follow-up and 793 patients were on HAART before baseline. Therefore, 3342 of eligible patients were included in the analysis, 91 of whom subsequently became lost to follow-up because they moved abroad or withdrew consent.

Study endpoints and definitions

We examined the probability of starting HAART and progressing to AIDS. AIDS was defined according to clinical stage C of the 1993 revised classification system for HIV infection [15]. HAART was defined as treatment with three or more antiretroviral drugs, including at least one protease inhibitor or at least one nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. Dual therapy was defined as any combination of two antiretroviral drugs, and monotherapy as the use of a single drug. Viral loads recorded as undetectable were assigned a value of 200 RNA copies per ml. Educational attainment was examined in three exclusive ordered categories: minimum schooling included patients who had left school at or below the mandatory minimum leaving age, vocational training included those who had completed mandatory schooling and undertaken an apprenticeship, and university education included those who had completed mandatory schooling and obtained a degree.

Statistical analysis

The earliest date from which follow-up could commence was the date of the first viral load measurement, so time was measured from this baseline either to the date the endpoint occurred or the date of the last follow-up visit. We calculated Kaplan–Meier probabilities of initiating HAART, stratified by sex, transmission group and educational level at baseline, and compared survival distributions using log rank tests. Cox proportional hazards models were fitted to examine the individual and combined effects of viral load, CD4 cell count, age, sex, education, transmission group, antiretroviral therapy, and disease stage at baseline on the probability of initiating HAART and of progressing to AIDS. Analyses were conducted using SAS (version 6.12, Cary, NC, USA) and Stata software (version 6.0, College Station, Texas, USA). Results are presented as Kaplan–Meier estimates of the probability of patients reaching an endpoint and relative hazards with 95% confidence intervals. Statistical and graphical tests indicated that the proportional hazards assumption was not violated.

Results

Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of participants was 36.7 years and the three major transmission categories were equally represented. At baseline a majority of patients were treated with monoor dual therapy and four-fifths were free of AIDS. The median CD4 cell count was $269 \times 10^6/1$ cells and the median viral load was $4.3 \log_{10}$ RNA copies per ml. The 3342 patients analysed contributed a total of 7007 person-years of follow-up. Most patients (2498, 75%) had their baseline examination in 1995 or 1996.

Utilization of highly active antiretroviral therapy

A total of 2285 participants started HAART at some stage during follow-up. In 2089 (91%) patients, initial

 Table 1. Baseline characteristics of 3342 Swiss HIV Cohort Study

 participants included in the analysis.

Characteristic		
Mean age in years (standard deviation)	36.7	(9)
Sex		
Male	2335	(70)
Female	1007	(30)
Transmission groups		
Men who have sex with men	1172	(35)
Heterosexual intercourse	1015	(30)
Injection drug use	1155	(35)
Education		
Mandatory schooling	957	(28)
Vocational training	1727	(52)
University education	658	(20)
Antiretroviral therapy		
No therapy	775	(34)
Monotherapy	553	(24)
Dual therapy	954	(42)
Clinical disease stage ^a		
CDC stage A	1713	(51)
CDC stage B	1016	(30)
CDC stage C	613	(18)
Median (range) CD4 cells $\times 10^6$ /l	269	(2 - 787)
Median (95 $\%$ range) log ₁₀ RNA copies/ml	4.3	(2.3 - 5.7)

Results shown as number of patients (percentage of total) unless otherwise stated. ^aClinical disease stage according to 1993 classification system of the Centers for Disease Control [15].

regimens were based on protease inhibitors (saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir or amprenavir) in combination with nucleoside analogues (zidovudine, lamivudine, didanosine, zalcitabine, stavudine or abacavir). In 70 (3%) patients, regimens included a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (nevirapine, delavirdine or efavirenz) combined with nucleoside analogues, and in 126 (6%) patients, regimens were based on all three classes of drugs.

Kaplan-Meier estimates of the cumulative probability of starting HAART are shown in Fig. 1. Overall, an estimated 81% (95% confidence intervals 79-82%) of patients had started HAART by 3 years from baseline. Kaplan-Meier curves indicate faster initiation of HAART by men than women, by men infected through sex between men compared with individuals infected through heterosexual intercourse or injection drug use, and by participants with higher compared with lower levels of education. The univariable Cox regression analysis (Table 2) confirms these findings and also shows faster initiation by older patients, those receiving antiretroviral mono- or dual therapy at baseline, and those with more advanced disease. In multivariable analysis, the utilization of HAART was no longer affected by age, sex or clinical stage. However, injection drug users continued to be less likely to start HAART than men who have sex with men (adjusted hazard ratio 0.63, 95% confidence intervals 0.56-0.70), as did patients with minimum schooling compared with those who had completed vocational training (adjusted hazard ratio 0.82, 0.75-0.91). Antiretroviral therapy, low CD4 cell count and higher viral load at baseline were also independent predictors for starting HAART.

Clinical disease progression

Overall, 318 (10%) participants developed a new AIDS-defining event. Kaplan-Meier curves showed no statistically significant differences (P > 0.20 by log rank test) in progression rates according to sex, route of acquisition of HIV infection or level of educational achievement (Fig. 2). After 3 years of follow-up, an estimated 11% of men who have sex with men had a new AIDS event compared with 13% of injection drug users. Similarly, an estimated 12% of those with minimum schooling and 11% of individuals with a university degree had progressed. Among those with a history of AIDS at baseline, 24% developed an AIDS indicator disease by 3 years, compared with 6% of asymptomatic patients (P < 0.001 by log rank test). In multivariable Cox regression analysis, low CD4 cell count, high viral load and AIDS at baseline were independent predictors of disease progression. Both monotherapy and dual therapy at baseline were significantly associated with slower progression (Table 3).

Kaposi's sarcoma is a relatively early AIDS-defining event seen almost exclusively among men who have



Fig. 1. Kaplan–Meier estimates of probability of starting highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), stratified by sex, HIV transmission group and level of educational attainment.

sex with men [16], the occurrence of which has been found to explain an increased rate of disease progression in some cohorts [17]. In a sensitivity analysis we calculated regression models excluding Kaposi's sarcoma from our definition of AIDS. After excluding 26

Fig. 2. Kaplan–Meier estimates of probability of progression to new AIDS-defining illness, stratified by sex, HIV transmission group and level of educational attainment.

episodes of Kaposi's sarcoma, hazard ratios for progression rates among heterosexuals and infection drug users, compared with men having sex with men, were not materially altered in univariate and multivariable analyses.

Predictor variable		hazard ratio	D*	Adjusted ^a hazard		 P*
	(5576 CI)		Tutte		
Age at baseline (per 1 year increase)	1.02	(1.01 - 1.02)	< 0.001	1.04	(0.00 - 1.09)	0.16
Sex			< 0.001			0.91
Male	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
Female	0.77	(0.70 - 0.85)		0.99	(0.89 - 1.11)	
Transmission groups			< 0.001			< 0.001
Men who have sex with men	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
Heterosexuals	0.80	(0.72 - 0.89)		0.91	(0.81 - 1.03)	
Injection drug users	0.65	(0.59 - 0.72)		0.62	(0.56 - 0.70)	
Education			< 0.001			< 0.001
Mandatory schooling	0.80	(0.72 - 0.88)		0.82	(0.75 - 0.91)	
Vocational training	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
University education	1.19	(1.07 - 1.33)		1.07	(0.96 - 1.20)	
Previous therapy						
Monotherapy (yes versus no)	1.10	(0.99 - 1.23)	0.09	1.0	(0.89 - 1.13)	0.99
Dual therapy (yes versus no)	1.63	(1.49 - 1.78)	< 0.001	1.62	(1.47 - 1.80)	< 0.001
Clinical disease stage			< 0.001			0.06
CDC stage A	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
CDC stage B	1.42	(1.29 - 1.56)		1.11	(1.00 - 1.22)	
CDC stage C	2.30	(2.06 - 2.57)		1.18	(1.04 - 1.35)	
CD4 cell count (per 100×100^6 /l cell increase)	0.68	(0.66 - 0.70)	< 0.001	0.76	(0.73 - 0.79)	< 0.001
Viral load (per log ₁₀ RNA copies/ml increase)	1.70	(1.63–1.79)	< 0.001	1.52	(1.44–1.60)	< 0.001

Results from Cox regression analyses. *P values derived from likelihood ratio tests. ^aHazard ratios adjusted for all variables in the table.

Table 3. Factors affecting the probability of progression to a new AIDS-defining illness.

Predictor variable	Crude hazard ratio		D*	Adjusted ^a hazard		D*
	(5570 CI)	I	Tauc) (JJ /0 Cl)	1
Age at baseline (per 1 year increase)	0.99	(0.88 - 1.12)	0.90	0.96	(0.83 - 1.10)	0.55
Sex			0.50			0.96
Male	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
Female	0.91	(0.72 - 1.17)		1.01	(0.76 - 1.34)	
Transmission groups			< 0.001			0.91
Men who have sex with men	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
Heterosexuals	0.93	(0.70 - 1.24)		0.94	(0.68 - 1.34)	
Injection drug users	1.13	(0.88 - 1.46)		1.0	(0.74 - 1.35)	
Education			< 0.001			0.90
Mandatory schooling	1.07	(0.83 - 1.39)		1.04	(0.80 - 1.36)	
Vocational training	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
University education	1.02	(0.76 - 1.37)		0.96	(0.71 - 1.33)	
Previous therapy						
Monotherapy (yes versus no)	1.51	(1.18 - 1.94)	0.001	0.74	(0.56 - 0.99)	0.04
Dual therapy (yes versus no)	0.73	(0.57 - 0.93)	0.01	0.65	(0.49 - 0.86)	0.08
Clinical disease stage			< 0.001			0.07
CDC stage A	1	(reference)		1	(reference)	
CDC stage B	1.92	(1.44 - 2.57)		1.26	(0.92 - 1.72)	
CDC stage C	4.27	(3.22 - 5.67)		1.49	(1.06 - 2.09)	
CD4 cell count (per 100×100^6 /l cell increase)	0.58	(0.55 - 0.61)	< 0.001	0.67	(0.62 - 0.73)	< 0.001
Viral load (per log ₁₀ RNA copies/ml increase)	2.80	(2.42-3.24)	< 0.001	1.85	(1.59–2.16)	< 0.001

Results from Cox regression analyses. *P values derived from likelihood ratio tests. aHazard ratios adjusted for all variables in the table.

Discussion

This study of over 3000 HIV-infected individuals followed for up to 3.5 years in the era of triple combination antiretroviral therapy has shown that injection drug users and individuals with low educational levels start HAART later, after taking clinical and laboratory markers of advanced disease into account. Deferring the start of treatment in these patients' groups did not translate into more rapid clinical progression to AIDS. Sex was not associated with progression to AIDS or, after controlling for confounders, with the initiation of therapy. Explanations for these apparently discordant findings, and their implications, need to be considered in the context of methodological issues and current research.

The SHCS is the largest prevalent cohort of HIVinfected individuals in Europe, with continued enrolment and prolonged follow-up of individuals from all major transmission groups. Observed differences in the utilization of antiretroviral therapy could result from bias in the participation of individuals in the study as a whole, or in those included in the present analysis. Comparisons with the national AIDS registry show that the SHCS covers 70% of patients with advanced disease in the country [18], and enrolment of people from all major transmission groups into the SHCS has increased in recent years, in line with the availability of HAART. Usage of therapy might differ between groups if the SHCS selectively enrolled injection drug users and less educated people who declined antiretroviral treatment but this is unlikely.

A more likely explanation for the slower rate of starting antiretroviral therapy by SHCS participants with lower levels of educational attainment and those infected through injection drug use is delay in the offer of treatment. In the USA [19] and Canada [20] as well as in the SHCS [8] utilization of HAART by injection drug users has been reported to be lower than expected, particularly among those who are actively injecting [8] or are not enrolled in a rehabilitation programme [19,20]. In a recent survey of SHCS patients not receiving HAART clinicians believed that patients would be unable to adhere to complex antiretroviral regimens in a fifth of cases [8]. Such caution is rational because poor adherence to combination therapy is associated with the emergence of resistant strains of HIV [21-23]. We did not have access to information about adherence for this study.

Progression to first or subsequent AIDS indicator diseases did not appear to be affected by the mode of HIV acquisition or education, despite the observed difference in utilization of therapy. The study may have lacked power to detect modest differences in progression rates, as shown by the confidence intervals around the hazard ratios shown in Table 3. Larger studies with more prolonged follow-up would need to be conducted to exclude such differences. Comparisons of progression rates between groups not randomly allocated to treatment must always be made with caution because of bias and confounding. We may have failed to detect differences in progression rates if patients with higher rates of disease progression started HAART at an earlier stage. Comparison with a group of patients with a better prognosis who started treatment at a later stage may then reduce the size of any observed treatment effects. We included immunological, virological and clinical markers of disease stage at baseline in multivariable regression models, but these may not have fully controlled for differences in progression rates. It is noteworthy, however, that analyses of progression rates in the period before HAART became available failed to show differences between sex and transmission groups [24,25]. Finally, results were similar after the exclusion of Kaposi's sarcoma from our definition of AIDS.

Progression rates could also have been biased if injection drug users and those with less education in the SHCS were less likely to have received mono- and dual antiretroviral therapy, because HAART has been shown to be more effective in antiretroviral naïve than experienced individuals [24]. In our analyses, uniform progression rates were observed in univariable analyses as well as in multivariable models controlling for the use of monotherapy and dual therapy at baseline.

Discordance in the initiation of therapy and progression of HIV disease was documented in the era of zidovudine monotherapy. In the USA, the Johns Hopkins HIV Clinic Cohort [27] reported that HIV progression rates in 1989 to 1994 were not faster among injection drug users, African Americans and those on low incomes. Poorer utilization of zidovudine monotherapy and prophylaxis against Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia had, however, previously been noted among African Americans [6] and drug users in this cohort [28]. The disparity was interpreted in the light of results from randomized studies comparing immediate and deferred policies for the use of zidovudine monotherapy [29]. The limited survival advantage of individuals treated earlier was suggested to have waned, whereas the drug users and African Americans treated later were benefiting at the time that follow-up was censored [27]. The role of viral resistance as a mechanism for treatment failure has since been demonstrated [30,31]. If viral resistance also limits the duration of effectiveness of HAART, then drug users and those with low educational attainment receiving treatment later in this study may, as Chaisson suggested for zidovudine, be continuing to experience the benefits.

The findings from this prospective cohort study emphasize the importance of knowing when to start antiretroviral therapy and the debate among clinicians, scientists [11,12,21,32] and patients [33] continues. In clinical practice, although HAART prolongs survival, it is not a cure and frequently fails to suppress viral replication in the long term [26,34]. There is therefore a trade-off between the clinical benefits of HAART and the complexity of existing regimens, the potential for serious side-effects and the fear of limiting future treatment options [32]. Observational studies are subject to selection bias because the decision to initiate treatment in clinical practice is implicitly influenced by the physician's assessment of prognostic factors, judgements about patients' adherence and patient preference [8]. These factors can only be fully controlled for when treatment allocation is randomized [12,35]. Furthermore, surrogate markers for disease progression do not fully capture the clinical effects of antiretroviral therapy [36], and prolonged follow-up was required to show the long-term equivalence of immediate versus delayed treatment policies. Our apparently contradictory findings on the utilization of therapy and progression of HIV disease in certain groups of patients suggest that after 3.5 years of follow-up, with currently available regimens, deferring HAART may not be

detrimental. Confirmation of these findings in further analyses of observational studies is required, but trials [37] with clinical endpoints and long-term follow-up are needed to answer the question of when to start HAART.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the participants of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study, and are especially grateful to all study physicians, study nurses and data managers at the clinics and in the data centre for providing invaluable data.

Appendix

The members of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study are: M. Battegay (Co-Chairman of the Scientific Board), E. Bernasconi, Ph. Burgisser, M. Egger, P. Erb (Chairman Working Group Laboratories), W. Fierz, M. Flepp (Chairman Working Group Clinics), P. Francioli (President of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland), H.J. Furrer, P. Grob, B. Hirschel (Chairman of the Scientific Board), L. Kaiser, B. Ledergerber, R. Malinverni, L. Matter, M. Opravil, F. Paccaud, G. Pantaleo, L. Perrin, W. Pichler, J.C. Piffaretti, M. Rickenbach (Head of the Data Centre), P. Sudre, J. Schupbach, A. Telenti, P. Vernazza, R. Weber.

References

- Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-infected adults and adolescents. URL: US Health and Human Services; 1999. http://www.hivatis.org/trtgdlns.html.
- Gazzard B, Moyle G. 1998 revision to the British HIV Association guidelines for antiretroviral treatment of HIV seropositive individuals. BHIVA Guidelines Writing Committee. Lancet 1998, 352:314–316.
- 3. Egger M, Hirschel B, Francioli P et al. Impact of new antiretroviral combination therapies in HIV infected patients in Switzerland: prospective multicentre study. *BMJ* 1997, 315:1194–1199.
- 4. Palella FJJ, Delaney KM, Moorman AC et al. Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. HIV Outpatient Study Investigators. N Engl J Med 1998, 338:853–860.
- European Secondary Prevention Study Group. Translation of clinical trials into practice: a European population-based study of the use of thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction. *Lancet* 1996, 347:1203–1207.
- Moore RD, Stanton D, Gopalan R, Chaisson RE. Racial differences in the use of drug therapy for HIV disease in an urban community. N Engl J Med 1994, 330:763–768.
- Crystal S, Sambamoorthi U, Merzel C. The diffusion of innovation in AIDS treatment: zidovudine use in two New Jersey cohorts. *Health Services Res* 1995, 30:593–614.

- Bassetti S, Battegay M, Furrer H et al. Why is highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) not prescribed or discontinued? Swiss HIV Cohort Study. J Acquired Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1999, 21:114–119.
- Powderly WG, Landay A, Lederman MM. Recovery of the immune system with antiretroviral therapy: The end of opportunism? JAMA 1998, 280:72–77.
- Furrer H, Egger M, Opravil M et al. Discontinuation of primary prophylaxis against Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in HIV-1-infected adults treated with combination antiretroviral therapy. Swiss HIV Cohort Study. N Engl J Med 1999, 340:1301-1306.
- 11. Ho DD. Time to hit HIV, early and hard. N Engl J Med 1995, 333:450–451.
- Walker BD, Basgoz N. Treat HIV-1 infection like other infections – treat it. JAMA 1998, 280:91–93.
- Li TS, Tubiana R, Katlama C, Calvez V, Ait MH, Autran B. Longlasting recovery in CD4 T-cell function and viral-load reduction after highly active antiretroviral therapy in advanced HIV-1 disease. *Lancet* 1998, 351:1682–1686.
- Ledergerber B, von Overbeck J, Egger M, Lüthy R. The Swiss HIV Cohort Study: rationale, organization and selected baseline characteristics. Soz Praventivmed 1994, 39:387–394.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1993 Revised classification system for HIV infection and expanded surveillance case definition for AIDS among adolescents and adults. MMWR 1992, 41:1–19.
- Hermans P, Lundgren J, Sommereijns B et al. Epidemiology of AIDS-related Kaposi's sarcoma in Europe over 10 years. AIDS in Europe Study Group. AIDS 1996, 10:911–917.
- Vella S, Giuliano M, Floridia M et al. Effect of sex, age and transmission category on the progression to AIDS and survival of zidovudine-treated symptomatic patients. *AIDS* 1995, 9:51–56.
- Gebhardt M. Praevalenz von HIV und AIDS in der Schweiz (Ende 1997). In: AIDS und HIV in der Schweiz. Epidemiologische Situation Ende 1997. Bern: Bundesamt fuer Gesundheit; 1998.
- Celentano DD, Vlahov D, Cohn S, Shadle VM, Obasanjo O, Moore RD. Self-reported antiretroviral therapy in injection drug users. JAMA 1998, 280:544–546.
- Strathdee SA, Palepu A, Cornelisse PG et al. Barriers to use of free antiretroviral therapy in injection drug users. JAMA 1998, 280:547-549.
- Feinberg M. Hidden dangers of incompletely suppressive antiretroviral therapy. *Lancet* 1997, 349:1408–1409.
 Paterson D, Swindells S, Mohr J et al. How much adherence is
- 22. Paterson D, Swindells S, Mohr J et al. How much adherence is enough. A prospective study of adherence to protease inhibitor therapy using MEMSCaps. 5th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Chicago, II, 31 January-4 February 1999 [Abstract 92].
- Kaplan A, Golin C, Beck K et al. Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and viral load. 5th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Chicago, II, 31 January–4 February 1999 [Abstract 96].
- von Overbeck J, Egger M, Smith GD et al. Survival in HIV infection: do sex and category of transmission matter? AIDS 1994, 8:1307–1313.
- Egger M, Twisselmann W, Ledergerber B. Differences in progression of HIV infection between men and women [letter]. BMJ 1995, 310:941.
- Bratt G, Karlsson A, Leandersson A-C, Albert J, Wahren B, Sandstrom E. Treatment history and baseline viral load, but not viral tropism or CCR-5 genotype, influence prolonged antiviral efficacy of highly active antiretroviral treatment. *AIDS* 1998, 12:2193–2202.
- Chaisson RE, Keruly JG, Moore RD. Race, sex, drug use, and progression of human immunodeficiency virus disease. N Engl J Med 1995, 333:751–756.
- Moore RD, Hidalgo J, Sugland BW, Chaisson RE. Zidovudine and the natural history of the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. N Engl J Med 1991, 324:1412–1416.
- Concorde Coordinating Committee. Concorde: MRC/ANRS randomised double-blind controlled trial of immediate and deferred zidovudine in symptom free HIV infection. Lancet 1994, 343:871–881.
- Brun VF, Boucher C, Loveday C et al. HIV-1 viral load, phenotype, and resistance in a subset of drug-naive participants from the Delta trial. The National Virology Groups. Delta Virology Working Group and Coordinating Committee. Lancet 1997, 350:983-990.

- 31. Katzenstein D. Combination therapies for HIV infection and genomic drug resistance. Lancet 1997, 350:970–971. Burman WJ, Reves RR, Cohn DL. The case for conservative
- 32.
- management of early HIV disease. JAMA 1998, 280:93–95. Buckingham W, Gebbie KM. Treatment policy: gold standard or golden calf? The AIDS Reader 1998, 8:160–215. 33.
- 34. Ledergerber B, Egger M, Opravil M et al. Clinical progression and virological failure on highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV-1 patients: a prospective cohort study. Swiss HIV

Cohort Study. Lancet 1999, 353:863-868.

- 35. Gail MH. Use of observational data, including surveillance studies, for evaluating AIDS therapies. Stat Med 1996, **15**:2273–2288.
- 36. Delta Coordinating Committee and Virology Group. An evaluation of HIV RNA and CD4 cell count as surrogates for clinical outcome. AIDS 1999, 13:565-573.
- Phillips AN, Smith GD, Johnson MA. Will we ever know when 37. to treat HIV infection? BMJ 1996, 313:608-610.